n re Van Genn

Serial No. 09/000,924 Page 2

Docket No. 1083.1048/MS

permission, as provided in the description of Figures 2 and 5, on page 13, line 24 through page 14, line 9 of the present Application (see also, page 14, line 10 through page 16, line 6).

Therefore, in the present invention a data protection system protects data requiring authorization for use (i.e., input data) against unauthorized use of the data during utilization of the data. Although data requiring authorization for use may be initially authorized for use, for example, by a particular user, subsequent uses of such data may not necessarily be authorized. The data protection system according to the present invention prevents such subsequent unauthorized uses by determining whether the data being utilized/processed (for example, the data is being utilized when incorporated in data created by a another user) requires authorization for use. If the data that is being utilized/processed requires authorization for use, the system generates and appends link information in the prepared data specifying the data requiring authorization for use.,  $\sqrt{c}$ 

In operation, the system judges if the data incorporated in the prepared data requires authorization for use and the system prevents the utilization/processing to be performed on the data requiring authorization for use (e.g., storage, cut and paste) unless authorization is obtained. For example, storage of the original data requiring authorization is forbidden by the system to prevent subsequent unauthorized use of the data. Cut and paste operations on the rell-known protected data are also blocked by the present invention. The prepared data may still be

utilized/processed without utilizing/processing the data that requires authorization until authorization is obtained.

To further clarify the patentably distinguishing features of the present invention, independent claims 1, 4, 9, 12, 15 and 18 22 were amended, and a new claim 23 was added in the Amendment filed on December 4, 2000 in response to the Office Action mailed August 3,

heck have discussion not in claims

2000.

not named we wited

well-known

TOTAL P.03